



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,650	09/07/2006	Kai Ming Andrew Sun	5509-2281	9996
28147	7590	10/03/2008	EXAMINER	
WILLIAM J. SAPONE			ELOSHEWAY, NIKI MARINA	
COLEMAN SUDOL SAPONE P.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
714 COLORADO AVENUE			3781	
BRIDGE PORT, CT 06605				
MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE				
10/03/2008 PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/589,650	Applicant(s) SUN, KAI MING ANDREW
	Examiner NIKI M. ELOSHWAY	Art Unit 3781

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08e)
 Paper No./Mail Date 8/16/06
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No./Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are considered vague and indefinite for the following reasons:

- (a) It is unclear if the limitations in the parenthesis in claims 1 and 5 are positively recited in the claims.
- (b) The dependent claims not specifically mentioned are rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim since they inherently contain the same deficiencies therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 4, 5, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baillie (U.S. 5,472,274) in view of Johnson (U.S. 205,486). Baillie teaches a beverage container 12 including a body member 14 with a top end 20 and cooling member 40 detachably engageable with the body member. The body member is capable of holding a beverage, as stated in col. 3 lines 48-50. The cooling member contains a cooling agent, as stated in col. 4 lines 38-54. The cooling member is engaged

Art Unit: 3781

lement 26 which closes a major portion of said top end of said body member. The cooling member extends into an interior cavity of said body member, as shown in figure 1. The cooling member includes vessel member 42 and a top closure member 26 which engage via threads shown in figure 6.

Baillie discloses the claimed invention except for the volume of the vessel being less than the volume of the cooling member. Johnson teaches that it is known to provide a cooling member wherein the volume of the vessel is less than the volume of the cooling member (see domed portion c). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the beverage container of Baillie with the volume of the vessel being less than the volume of the cooling member, as taught by Johnson, in order to allow for a greater amount of cooling agent.

Regarding claims 4 and 9, the modified container of Baillie does not teach that the vessel is metal. Johnson teaches that it is known to provide a vessel made of metal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the modified beverage container of Baillie with the vessel being made of metal, as taught by Johnson, in order to use a strong and durable material for the vessel.

5. Claims 2, 3, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baillie (U.S. 5,472,274) in view of Johnson (U.S. 205,486), as applied to claims 1 and 5 above, and further in view of Svehaug (U.S. 5,435,256). The modified container of Baillie discloses the claimed invention except for the seal. Svehaug teaches that it is known to provide a seal on an inner vessel (see element 30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the modified container of Baillie with the seal, as taught by Johnson, in order to prevent leakage of the contents within the vessel.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The prior art is cited for the secondary compartment of the vessel.

7. THIS ACTION IS NON-FINAL.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NIKI M. ELOSHWAY whose telephone number is (571)272-4538. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Niki M. Eloshway/
Niki M. Eloshway
Examiner
Art Unit 3781

nmc